

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,120	02/27/2006	Marielle P.K.J Engelen	140/1643US	8717
22822 LEWIS RICE	7590 03/26/2010 & FINGERSH, LC	EXAMINER		
ATTN: BOX I	P DEPT.	PACKARD, BENJAMIN J		
600 Washington Ave. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, M	O 63101	1612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPDEPT@LEWISRICE.COM KDAMMAN@LEWISRICE.COM

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/542,120		ENGELEN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Benjamin Packard	1612		

	Benjamin Packard	1612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
	F Th. 07 OFD 44 07	The state of the state of the state of			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> </ol>	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10 and 12-15.					
Claim(s) rejected. 10 and 12-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 2pgs (3/	12/10)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612

/Frederick Krass/

/Benjamin Packard/

Examiner, Art Unit 1612

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants assert the amendment to simplify for purposes of appeal.

This rejection is maintained since applicant has (effectively) not responded to the rejection in a substantive manner. See 37 CFR § 1.11(b)

This rejection is maintained since applicant has (effectively) not responded to the rejection in a substantive manner. See 37 CFR § 1.111(b and MPEP § 714.02.